

**ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
MEETING MINUTES
Mayfield Village
June 11, 2020**

The Architectural Review Board met in regular session on Thurs, June 11, 2020 at 6:30 p.m. **remotely, via electronic means.** Chairman Miozzi presided.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mr. Carmen Miozzi Chairman
Mr. Steve Varelmann Chairman Pro Tem
Dr. Jim Triner
Mr. Tom Lawler

Also Present: Mr. John Marrelli Building Commissioner
Ms. Deborah Garbo Secretary
Jeff Thomas IT Systems Coordinator

Chairman Miozzi called the meeting of the Mayfield Village Architectural Review Board to order at 6:30 p.m.

Chairman Miozzi stated, in the Main Conference Room with me tonight is Debbie Garbo and Jeff Thomas. All other members of the Board, Steve Varelmann, Dr. Triner and Tom Lawler are in attendance via ZOOM as well as Building Commissioner John Marrelli. This meeting is being held in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22 specific to recent amendments made in light of the current COVID-19 declared emergency (House Bill 197). Under the orders of Governor DeWine and the Director of Health of Ohio, the Architectural Review Board is meeting remotely, via electronic means. This meeting was properly noticed and forwarded to the news media. The public was invited to view the meeting live through a link posted on the Mayfield Village website. These proceedings will be conducted in compliance with all applicable State laws and regulations.

CONSIDERATION OF MEETING MINUTES: May 28, 2020

Dr. Triner, seconded by Mr. Varelmann made a motion to approve the minutes of May 28, 2020.

ROLL CALL

Ayes: All **Motion Carried**
Nays: None **Minutes Approved as Written**

PROPOSAL

1. ATM Canopy Signage (Tabled 5/28/20)
Citizens Bank
789 SOM Ctr Rd.
Musca Properties, LLC
Sign Erectors, Inc

OPEN PORTION

Chairman Miozzi stated, we have one item on the agenda tonight. This ATM portion of the proposal was tabled on May 28th, now they're back with a re-draw per our suggestions. We have several other representatives signed in with us tonight along with the sign company, I believe the Architect and Citizens Project Manager, so if you have any comments, please state your name for the record.

Dave Detar with Sign Erectors said, as you can see with the new drawing, we had discussed about eliminating the large Citizens logo on the sides of the ATM drive-up. The new size is similar to what's on the face of the ATM that's being installed. I would note that that Citizens and the logo is currently illuminated in this drawing, the green logo that you see in the fire green shape here, that's just a graphic, that's not anything that's illuminated. You had questions about the island at the last meeting which I couldn't really answer.

Mr. Marrelli said, they show the bollards inside the canopy. The vehicle would have to go through the canopy to hit the bollards and not the ATM machine. We just couldn't figure out why the bollards were inside instead of the outside.

Dave Detar said, I would assume that the ATM is more valuable than the canopy.

Paul Gagel, Project Manager Citizens Bank introduced himself. That is exactly what it is, it's to protect the ATM. People tend to swing in to the ATM instead of going around the canopy. Those posts are actually out farther than it looks, they're tubular steel.

Mr. Marrelli said, from our perspective, it was like, if you're going to hit something, you're going to hit the canopy side and then the machine. So basically, it's intentional and that's the design that you're looking for?

Paul Gagel replied, correct.

Mr. Lawler said, where these bollards are, from this elevation view, I'm only seeing these bollards from the front side, and are the existing bollards being demolished?

Paul Gagel replied, yes. We're going to need to rebuild the entire island. Because of this design, the way it cantilevers over, it needs deeper anchor support.

Mr. Lawler said, because the note of the drawing says new proposed signage will fit on existing pad.

Paul Gagel replied, here's what's going to happen. Right now we have an existing ATM on this pad. We have to do some excavation work to replace these conduits going into the building. During the course of the excavation, the footprint of the pad itself will stay the same, but the thickness may change a little bit.

Mr. Lawler asked, so the material that's existing is getting replaced, but the footprint is going to be the same as the existing, is that correct?

Paul Gagel replied, that is correct.

Mr. Marrelli said, the Eifs came into question about how the sign was going to come down and what kind of repairs or replacement of the Eifs was going to take place. Could you elaborate on that?

Paul Gagel replied, there was a drawing that was sent over with that note on it about the patch and repair of the Eifs. When the sign is removed, we're going to assess the condition of the Eifs. We'll patch and we'll paint to match the best that we can. Then we'll assess it at that time to see how well we can blend it in before we think about expanding the scope of the work to redo the entire panel.

Mr. Lawler asked, do you know off hand what type of finish the Eifs is, is it sand pebble?

Paul Gagel replied, my recollection from being on site is that it's sand finish, I'd have to double check.

Chairman Miozzi said, I'm assuming you're going to paint at least the squares where they're repaired and then if you have to go after the rest of the building, that will be determined.

Mr. Lawler said, our main concern with the refinishing is we just don't want to be able to see any seams and patching work.

Paul Gagel replied, understood, and we naturally want the same thing.

Chairman Miozzi said, I have no objections to the new design of the signage on the ATM. I do think it's less obtrusive. Does anyone have any further questions or comments?

Mr. Varelmann asked, did anyone respond with the amount of signage area allowed per code?

Mr. Marrelli replied, I did not. When I saw the reduction on the side of the ATM canopy, I basically did a square footage on the front of the building versus the signage and the sign in the front yard is separate, so it doesn't come into play for coverage. It was so short of being too much that I didn't bother going over the mathematics. Basically, on the front of the building, you're allowed one square foot per lineal foot of signage. I didn't do the calculation, but it's way under of what you're allowed. I think the question was, does the monument sign enter into the square footage allowable, and it does not, it's separate.

Chairman Miozzi said, maybe we can address the ordinance to see if these little ATM's are going to have to be calculated in with the building square footage.

Mr. Marrelli said, for instance, they have two frontages, they're on a corner. They have two streets that you can figure the calculations. I don't think you can put enough letters on that building to go over the sign code.

DECISION

Dr. Triner, seconded by Mr. Varelmann made a motion to approve the proposed revised ATM Canopy Signage design for Citizens Bank at 789 SOM Ctr Rd. as proposed.

ROLL CALL

Ayes: Mr. Miozzi, Mr. Varelmann, Dr. Triner, Mr. Lawler

Nays: None

Motion Carried

Drawing Approved

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Dr. Triner, seconded by Mr. Lawler made a motion to adjourn the meeting.

ROLL CALL

Ayes: All

Nays: None

Motion Carried

Meeting adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

Chairman

Secretary