

**MINUTES OF THE FINANCE CAUCUS MEETING**  
**Monday, July 9, 2012 – 4:00 p. m.**  
**Main Conference Room – Mayfield Village Civic Center**

The Finance Committee meeting was held on Monday, July 9, 2012, in the Main Conference Room at the Mayfield Village Civic Center. Mr. Marrie called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

Present: Mr. Marrie, Mrs. Mills and Mr. Delguyd (by phone)

Also Present: Chief Edelman, Mr. Wynne, Mr. Thomas,  
Ms. Wolgamuth and Mrs. Betsa

**1. Property and casualty insurance (straw vote requested July 6, 2012)**

Mr. Marrie stated, a straw vote on this was requested and received.

Mr. Wynne reported, our policy renews on July 1. The policy is for July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013. The total premium cost is \$71,215 which is approximately \$6,000-7,000 higher than last year. About \$3,000 of that increase had to do with changes in coverage that I reported on at Caucus. The other has to do with our experience last year and also that market conditions have started to turn.

Mr. Marrie asked, any questions? There were none. Patsy do you agree with this? Mrs. Mills replied, yes. This will be passed on to Finance.

**2. TIRC meeting**

This is the Tax Incentive Review Committee.

Mr. Wynne stated, this is just something that I reported on at Caucus. It was just more informative. The Committee will be meeting at the end of this month with the County to go over our TIF Agreements to make sure that everything is in compliance. It should be a non-event, but it is a formality we have to go through with the County every year.

Mr. Marrie stated, mention it again at Council. Mr. Wynne will remind Council it is coming up. I will report on it again in August after we have had the actual meeting with the County.

**3. 2013 Budget**

Mr. Wynne reported, there is legislation prepared on this. Council has to approve it at the July meeting. We then submit it to the County by the 20<sup>th</sup> of July. It's purely informational at this point for the County for purposes of determining how property taxes for this next year will be divided up between the General Fund, the Bond Retirement Fund and the Police Pension Fund.

We update this budget at the end of the year or early next year when we pass our final budget for 2013.

Mr. Marrie asked, any questions? There were none.

#### **4. Various Purpose Improvement Note – due August 30, 2012**

Mr. Marrie stated this is due on August 30<sup>th</sup>. I understand we are paying down \$500,000. Mr. Wynne stated, yes, the note is at \$2.9 million. We will be paying down \$500,000 and then selling \$2.4 worth of Notes in the market.

Mr. Marrie asked, questions? Mr. Delguyd asked, this is a paydown? Mr. Wynne replied, before the tax increase went through, we had been paying down \$100,000-150,000, then when it went through we increased it to \$500,000 to accelerate it a little bit.

Mr. Delguyd asked, what is the rate on that? Mr. Wynne replied 1 1/8<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Delguyd stated, from a finance standpoint, it doesn't make sense to pay it down other than we have the cash to do it, correct? Mr. Wynne replied, yes, absolutely.

Mr. Marrie stated, that question came up before. Ron explained it the same way. Someone asked that same question, we have the cash, why don't we pay it down?

Mr. Delguyd stated, yes, we are not making 1 1/8% on it.

Mr. Wynne stated, this is the only debt we can pay down. The bonds are tied in until 2014. A lot of the other debt is at 0% so it makes no sense to pay it down.

#### **5. RITA – Cost of Collections**

Mr. Marrie stated, it was mentioned at Caucus that this is normally at 3% and we get normally about \$170,000 refund. This year we got a bigger one.

Mr. Wynne reported, the 3% is a retainer. They take the 3% off the top every month and then they get audited and go through a more in-depth calculation to see what the actual expenses were. They came in at about 1.2%. The refund we got back this year was \$100,000 higher than it had been historically. Definitely more than we anticipated. Mr. Marrie stated, that's always good news.

Mr. Delguyd asked, what do we do with that money, it just goes to the General Fund? Mr. Wynne replied, yes.

Mr. Marrie asked, any other questions? There were none.

**6. Political Subdivision Participation Agreement – Cuyahoga County Benefits Regionalization Program (Revised)**

Mr. Wynne reported, there are two parties to the Agreement. There's the County and then there's an organization called EBI who administers the plan for the County. When the County gave us the Agreement initially back in March that Council approved, it took the fees of the County and EBI and put them together in the Agreement. Cuyahoga County Council needs to have that Agreement reflect the cost of the insurance that gets paid through the County before they would approve it. They amended the Agreement to back out the cost of the EBI which is only \$8 per employee per month out of the Agreement. The only change to the legislation is reduction in cost of \$8 per employee per month. Once we pass the new Agreement and sign it, we will pass it out to them. The County government will approve it at their Council meeting.

Mr. Marrie asked, any questions? There were none. Patsy, move on to Finance? Mrs. Mills replied, yes.

**7. Senior Snow Removal Program – 2012-2013**

Mr. Thomas reported, we are asking Council for authorization to go out to bid on this. We had discussion on this at Caucus. Hopefully that can be approved next Monday.

Mr. Marrie asked, any questions? There were none. This will be sent on to Finance.

**8. Appointment of James S. McKnight as Landscape Architect**

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, let me back everyone up just a little bit. The Ordinance relied on to create the Ordinance for Mr. McKnight was 1301.05. That's Landscape Architect creation and duties, but it more specifically talked about assisting the Building Commissioner to make recommendations on building permit applications. That's I think what caused the conversation at Caucus, was the Ordinance that was drafted by the Law Department didn't include those duties. It only talked about public projects. So Diane has revised it so that it says that McKnight would be employed in accordance with this prior Ordinance and perform services to the Village for public projects. So I think that that will address the comments made by Council and Department Heads at the Caucus.

Mr. Marrie asked, does that answer the questions? Basically the question that came up from Bill was that his duties weren't really covered by the Ordinance the way it was written. The Law Department revised the Ordinance to make sure that it was covered even though it was thought that was in it but it wasn't.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, at Caucus I don't think that we realized that the Building Department was looking to have someone in that position. We didn't realize that was a hole that had not been filled.

Mr. Marrie stated, I certainly didn't. Any other questions?

Mrs. Mills asked, what are his qualifications as far as education?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, I have his profile. I will provide that on Friday in packets. I will also give you the underlying Ordinance and then the new one that is in draft. He also said he could come on Monday. He will be there. He can make a presentation.

Mr. Marrie stated, you suggested it at Caucus and I had said, let's have him here on Monday for the meeting.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, he will be there.

Mr. Delguyd asked, that was going to be my question. He is going to be there on Monday to answer questions?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, yes.

Mr. Delguyd asked, okay, do we need to do nothing with this right now?

Mrs. Mills replied, well, we are going to bring it to Finance.

Mr. Marrie agreed. Push it on to Finance.

Mr. Delguyd stated, we are in Finance.

Mrs. Mills clarified, no. This is a Caucus. So, we need to move it to our Finance Committee meeting. You know, I was thinking about this whole scenario. We spend a lot of time, time is probably more important than what the actual product is that we are working with, planting trees and everything else. I know Frank has gotten his degree to be an Arborist which is just wonderful that he did this, but I think we need some better guidance on exactly what to do because some of these trees, they just won't live in this climate or they won't take the salt that goes on the road and there's other things. Once you do a planting in your yard, I know if I was going to redo my yard, I would certainly get somebody that knew what was going to be the best thing for my yard instead of just going to a nursery or Home Depot or somewhere and buying some plants and sticking them in the ground. That's not going to work.

Ms. Wolgamuth agreed. Also his designability to look at the site and kind of give us a visual of what it could look like so we can make decisions. I think that's really helpful.

Mrs. Mills stated, thinking about what he will be doing, I don't think his fee would be that high because we really don't have that much for him to do, but it's nice to know that you have somebody that's knowledgeable.

Mr. Delguyd stated, that's the whole point. If there's not that much to do, what's the point of doing this and creating a position? It's not going to be a full-time job. It's just going to be an

outsourced contractor. So what's the point of doing that instead of just continuing to go as needed?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, Ron, maybe you can elaborate on that a little bit. I thought we run afoul of, we are not supposed to write purchase orders to the same vendor over and over again as we are going through the year. I think this is the cleaner way to do it.

Mrs. Mills agreed. The auditors frown on a lot of purchase orders.

Ms. Wolgamuth added, the hourly is not going to be different. We are still going to spend the same amount of money and if everybody is more comfortable, we could put a cap on it. It would be like a set cap for the year. I think that was just to be cleaner.

Mr. Marrie stated, he will be paid like we are paying the Architect and the Engineer.

Mr. Delguyd replied, I understand. You told me that the auditors are more comfortable with an open purchase order than with multiple approvals?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes, because they like to be able to look at the activity with the vendor and see that from an administrative standpoint we are loading all costs through one transaction if possible versus multiple transactions. It hasn't happened here, but there are situations in other communities where they use that multiple p.o. process to circumvent rules and regulations that are in place.

Mr. Delguyd asked, meaning they brought a bunch of p.o.'s that are below approval?

Mr. Marrie replied, exactly.

Mr. Delguyd asked, so what's the difference between that and an open purchase order? It will do the same thing?

Mr. Wynne replied, no. The difference is that we can't have an open purchase order for, let's just say we wanted to set a limit of \$10,000 for the year. We can't have an open p.o. for \$10,000 right now without going to Council and requesting approval. Council approves the legislation to have the Landscape Architect for so many dollars per hour and that covers us for the whole year. The initial budget for the year will have \$10,000 in it which they will approve and then we pay per the legislation so much per hour to make sure that we stay under that \$10,000.

Mr. Delguyd asked, so the dollars per hour in the Ordinance, is the total amount in the Ordinance as well, like the budgeted amount?

Mr. Wynne replied, no.

Mr. Delguyd asked, is there any way to get it in there?

Mr. Wynne replied, I am sure if you wanted to pass it that way. I think it's just really, the ones for the Engineer and the Architect and Law Director, those are all hourly amounts and then the cap is kind of set by what we put in to the budget that Council approves at the beginning of the year. So there's no specific caps addressed in the legislation.

Mr. Delguyd asked, okay, so, there's a cap but it's really not approved in the budget?

Mr. Wynne replied, it's approved in the budget. The legislation is just addressing the hourly rate to pay the person in that position.

Mr. Marrie replied, that way if we do it the same way as the others, then they are all the same and we don't have a difference of how to control it. I think it's best.

Mr. Wynne stated, if Council wanted to put a cap in the legislation, we could certainly do that. We have done that with the Prosecutor.

Mr. Marrie stated, to me, this gentleman falls in the category as I mentioned before, like the Engineer and the Architect and even the Law Director. I would like to see it left that way rather than put it in. It's controlled with putting the amount in the budget. That's what Council would pass.

Mr. Wynne added, and with the professionals, if they are in jeopardy of going over the budget as the year progresses, especially in that category, I will come back to Council and say we are going to spend more for our Law Director than we had anticipated, we want to revise the budget by increasing it by 'x' number of dollars. You have control at that time.

Mr. Marrie stated, so we have a failsafe position in there.

Mrs. Mills asked, do you think Diane you could request of him politely and say, how much do you think you will need ?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, I don't think he could know at this time. It depends on what projects he is doing.

Mr. Delguyd stated, it depends on what we put forward to him. So we have to say, hey, we are going to use you, we know you are at \$120 an hour, so it's \$20,000 a year and we are going to use 6 months of his services plus \$10,000 divided by \$120, 84 hours. I don't know anything about landscape architecture work in terms of how long it takes to throw something together or be more involved. We can obviously use him on the East Commons project, the Library project going forward, obviously if there are any changes, across the street. I am not saying that it's not a valid use of his time or sources. To me, I would have looked at it and said an open p.o. is not a good control. I might have went the other way with what the state auditor said. But if that's what they are saying, that's what they are saying.

Mr. Marrie asked, any other questions?

Mr. Wynne clarified, when you say open p.o., it's an open p.o. with a fixed dollar amount to it.

Mr. Delguyd replied, right.

Mr. Marrie stated, it's really not open open as you normally call it.

Mr. Delguyd stated, I understand. It's open at \$120 an hour and then the budget says \$6,000 and once it goes over that amount, you have to come back.

Mr. Wynne replied, right. If the budget is approved at \$6,000, we open a p.o. for \$6,000 and then if we are in jeopardy of going over that, we come back to Council.

Mr. Delguyd replied, right. I guess I would just like to see that in there as well, but that's fine.

Mr. Marrie stated, I think this is a good move because it was brought up and I had questions and it was brought up at Caucus. John could use him. Doug could use him. Architectural Review Board. Even Planning and Zoning, so his expertise could be used at different situations.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, for the examples that Nick just gave. If you get a plan from the Library or East Commons, we don't have anyone on staff who can look at those plans and say anything.

Mrs. Mills replied, we have always depended on Tom Cappello to take care of banking land for snow, storage and everything else that happens in a plan. This is probably going to be a very good move.

Mr. Marrie agreed. Personally I think it is.

Mr. Delguyd stated, the only other question I would have is if there is anybody in the Village that has the skillset. Like Ron lives in the Village and he's the Architect. It's just a thought. Not anything we would do this year, but going forward.

Mr. Marrie asked, doesn't McKnight live in the Village?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, I don't think so. When I was looking for landscape architects, I was looking for ones with municipal experience. I literally went on-line and looked at landscape architects in the Cleveland area and came up with four different groups that listed municipal experience. He was the one of the four.

Mr. Delguyd agreed. There's a difference between being a landscaping company and being a landscape architect.

Mr. Marrie agreed. There's 9,000 trucks running around saying landscaper.

Ms. Wolgamuth agreed. There are some companies who offer both services, the design and the work. He doesn't do any of the work. He really only focuses on the design.

Mr. Marrie stated, like Ron, he's an architect and this guy's a landscape architect. He's a real landscape architect, not a young man with a truck and a plow.

Mr. Marrie asked, okay, move it on Patsy? Mrs. Mills replied, yes, please.

**9. Fire Investigation Unit Contract**

Mr. Wynne reported, this is the Hillcrest agreement with the communities. It is an annual fee.

**10. Reserve Ambulance Contract**

Mr. Marrie stated, Mike covered this in Caucus.

Mr. Wynne stated, quite frequently we will have a community contact us, mostly the Hillcrest community, where if one of their ambulances are down and they need a back up, they borrow our ambulance.

Mr. Marrie asked, this is a reserve? Ours is a reserve ambulance?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes. They would borrow ours. We would just let them use it. This is more formalizing the terms under which they can borrow from the standpoint of repairs and maintenance and cost involved. It's an actual rental fee.

Mr. Marrie stated, they actually get charged rental. That was also brought up at Caucus. I think it's \$100 a day. Any questions? There were none. Patsy, move it to Finance? Mrs. Mills replied, yes, please.

**11. Patient Loading System for MV ambulances (grant from Ohio BWC)**

Mr. Marrie stated, this was reported at Caucus. \$34,500 is coming from a grant. \$20,000 is coming from a grant from last year they had with Lyndhurst that they didn't use. \$30,000 of that is coming from the budget that wasn't used this year for the new ambulance, is that correct, Ron?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes. The Lyndhurst grant wasn't an actual grant we received. We went in with Lyndhurst and some other communities and applied for a grant at the end of last year. If it was approved, our piece of that would have been \$20,000. We put \$20,000 in the budget for this year. It just pays for that being approved. It was not approved so we still have the \$20,000 that Council approved in the budget which they would like to now redirect towards the cost of this.

Mr. Marrie asked, was it in the budget?

Mr. Wynne replied, it's in the budget, correct.

Mr. Marrie asked, and it's approved?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes.

Mrs. Mills added, and I think because it's something from the Bureau of Workers' Comp, they probably would like to see us have something like this lift as far as the ambulance goes.

Mr. Wynne replied, yes. Highland Heights has it in their ambulance from my understanding. Workers' Comp says pretty much the number one injury is back injury from loading. As a matter of fact, one of our guys was down for a week and half three weeks ago for exactly that reason. They realize that's a big enough ticket for them for the insurance program that they are willing to give out this grant money to municipalities.

Mr. Marrie stated, it kind of proves a point if Workers' Comp is giving out the grants. It obviously has a lot of credibility that back injuries are the real culprit.

Mr. Wynne stated, we have applied for the grant and have received the money already. Now they are asking Council's approval to move forward to buy the system and redirect those budgeted monies for the balance of it. Otherwise, we would just have to turn around and refund the grant monies.

Mr. Marrie stated, okay, questions? There were none. Patsy, do you approve? Mrs. Mills replied, yes.

**12. Wiley Park site preparation (C.A. Agresta - \$5,700.00)**

Mr. Marrie replied, the sidewalk area for Wiley Park was removed. The combination before was \$9,400. It's now \$5,700. This was covered by Doug in the Caucus meeting, what they want to do. In fact, I think it was McKnight who did the drawings on that.

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, yes. It's really just to flatten the area where the equipment is going to go.

Mr. Marrie stated, exactly. This way they don't wind up with a swimming pool right in the middle of the playground area.

Mrs. Mills stated, the way the playground was before, it was right up against the road. That's what bothered Doug more than anything. He has it staked out if anybody wanted to go down there and look to see where the pegs are.

Mr. Marrie saw it. Any questions?

Mr. Delguyd asked, do we ever do any of this work in-house, like our road crew, or do we always sub it out?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, a lot of the work we do with our Service Department. I think they don't have the equipment that they need to do this work.

**13. Bid Results – Street Striping 2012 (Pat Flowers - \$20,723.55).**

Mr. Marrie stated, this is pretty cut and dry. They went out for bid and the company, Pat Flowers, won the bid for \$20,723.35. We do this every year for the Village. It is the low bid. I don't think there's a lot of questions, but if there are, let's hear them. If not, we are just going to move this on.

Mrs. Mills asked, is he going to stripe this parking lot too? Is that included?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, yes. They were just waiting to finish the apron. The apron work is done now.

Mr. Wynne replied, is that part of this?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, whether it is part of this bid, I am not positive.

Mr. Wynne replied, I could be wrong, but I think we got a quote to have the parking lot done and it is under the Mayor's spending limit.

Mr. Marrie replied, fine. It doesn't effect this at all.

Mr. Wynne added, I think that is separate from this bid.

Mr. Marrie replied, okay. This is a separate project.

Mr. Wynne replied, yes. I think it is supposed to be done this weekend.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, yes. He said last weekend or the following weekend, so it must be this weekend.

Mr. Marrie asked, the parking lot, you mean?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, yes.

Mr. Marrie stated, to me that's the best safety investment we have made in a long time. It's a zoo out there sometimes trying to walk to your car. I am really glad that they are redirecting all of that traffic. Any questions? There were none. Patsy, do you want to move this on? Mrs. Mills replied, yes, please.

**14. Bid Results – Sale of Modular Building**

- **Change order from Bauman Enterprises for demolition and removal of building (\$5,000.00)**

Mr. Marrie talked to Doug about this. What we are doing here is getting approval for \$5,000 as a change order. The \$5,000 would be to take down the police trailers and so forth. That was the bid that was added to his original contract for taking down Village Hall. Doug has this in for \$5,000. The reason it is there and the reason we went out for bid is when we went out for bid to sell the trailers, we didn't get one person bidding on the trailers. No one wanted them. After he put this through, and he would like this discussed this afternoon and pushed on to Finance Committee, he did receive two calls, not from companies, but individuals that said that they might like to think about it. There was no real concrete, I want them, I will give you \$2,000 for them, I will move them.

Basically, if they would move them for free, we would save the \$5,000. I am all for holding this as an okay thing as an extension to the original bid. If we have to. Until next week, Monday, when we hear whether these people do or do not want them. In my opinion, if they say, yes we would like them, but we would like them free, and we will move them off the lot, help yourself. It would save us the \$5,000 for knocking them down. It's a shame nobody wants them, but that's the way it is. There's nothing we can do. We certainly can't leave them there as everybody knows if we are going to take the rest of the building down.

Mrs. Mills asked, on a unit like that, is there a title on it?

Mr. Wynne replied, that's a good question.

Chief Edelman replied, it's not a motor vehicle. I don't think so.

Mrs. Mills asked, it's not?

Mr. Marrie replied, no.

Mrs. Mills stated, I wondered about that.

Mr. Marrie said, this is a house trailer. Other trailers are on the road. That's the difference.

Chief Edelman replied, I really don't know.

Mrs. Mills stated, we must have some kind of a deed or something somewhere.

Mr. Wynne replied, that's a good question. I will check in to it.

Mr. Marrie asked, good question. Are there any other questions and do you agree with what Doug wants to do here? Mrs. Mills replied, yes.

Mr. Delguyd agreed. I would just pressure that we don't sit on this for three months to make a decision. They have a limited amount of time. If they don't get back to us, we will have to keep the contract to get rid of them.

Mr. Marrie replied, I am well aware of that. We have to get the building down. Believe me, we are not going to sit on it. That's exactly why we put this \$5,000 bid in. It's yes or no. Are you going to do it? If not, we are going the other way.

**15. Parkview Pool Tile Project – Change Order for Extra Title (Nerone & Sons, Inc. – \$535.50)**

Mr. Wynne reported, I wasn't here at the meeting when we initially discussed this. I dug into the initial bid specs and the actual bids that came in. I talked to the company and John Marrelli about the project. The company bid \$35,739 to do the tile project. Then when the project started, we requested a number of quantities of additional pieces of tile just to have in stock in the event something breaks down the road, so we have tile that we can use to replace the broken ones because they might not be available if it happens.

Mr. Marrie asked, so, spare tiles?

Mr. Wynne went through the change order they submitted. The change order is a combination of the extra tiles we requested and then they were offset by a few reductions, put some grout and adhesive not used on the project that got returned to the company. The net effect of the additional tiles and return of the grout and adhesive is \$535.50.

Mr. Marrie stated, that's fine. I was the one that questioned it because it was just, here's a bill for \$535.00 and we said, wait a minute, what's it for and why?

Mr. Wynne replied, initially, there was a certain part of the project they didn't do correctly. We made them redo it. We thought they were trying to pass that through. But after going through all of the documents and talking to John Marrelli and the company, we found that they did eat the cost to come in and redo what had to be redone. These are just items we requested above the specs.

Mr. Marrie asked, you do have the spare tiles?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes.

Mrs. Mills asked, where are you going to store it?

Mr. Thomas replied, in the filtration room right now. We may have to keep it in a warm place.

Mr. Marrie stated, I would like to comment on the tile project. It really is a good job. It makes that whole area look so much nicer than what it used to. It's a complete do over that was really needed. I think it's in a first class position than what it was before.

Mr. Thomas replied, we have gotten tremendous feedback number one from our residents or people that use the pool. Number two feedback is from the cleaning crew that has to clean that. That job was not done properly in 1999 when they did pitches that should have drained away from the drain. It went back behind the toilets. We had pools of water in different locations. The guy, Jim, did a nice job.

Mr. Marrie asked, any other questions? There were none.

### **ANY OTHER MATTERS**

Mr. Marrie asked if there were any other matters.

Mr. Wynne reported, at the last Finance meeting, questions came up about the two leases for the houses we got from the Library as to why the rent is different.

Mr. Delguyd stated, yes, one is two stories and the other is one and the other one is bigger, right?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes, one house is two stories and approximately 800 square feet and the other house is one story and approximately 1500 square feet.

Mr. Marrie stated, okay. That answers the question.

Mr. Wynne reported, several weeks ago, there was an article in *The Plain Dealer* about the County completing the reappraisal process for residential. It showed the impact of the different communities.

The impact to us was a reduction in taxable value of about \$7,000,000. We will see the impact for us from an actual tax collection standpoint, which will be about a \$10,000 loss of revenue starting next year.

Mr. Delguyd stated, because the Village rates we actually get from real estate tax values is relatively small. Most of it goes to the School District.

Mr. Wynne replied, yes, that \$10,000 is only 6.5% of what is actually collected.

Mr. Delguyd stated, the balance is what the School District is going to lose.

Mrs. Mills stated, there was an article in the paper today about that.

Mr. Marrie stated, yes. So \$10,000 compared to what was in the paper, they are talking about hundreds of thousands.

Mr. Delguyd stated, that's because they also have higher residential numbers than we do.

Mr. Marrie replied, right. I understand. Maple Heights or Garfield Heights is taking the biggest beating.

Mr. Delguyd replied, Shaker Heights, Parma, Cleveland, East Cleveland and Maple Heights.

Mr. Marrie asked, any other matters?

Mrs. Mills asked that Mr. Wynne address this in Council when you give your Finance report. That will be interesting. It seemed like there was a 7% across the board reduction when you looked at different house values and went into that function they had in the paper. I checked some properties. It is about 7% across the board.

Mr. Wynne replied, I will report on it. Ours was about 6.5%.

Mr. Marrie asked, any other matters? There were none.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Mary E. Betsa, Clerk of Council

**Finance Caucus Committee  
Meeting Agenda  
Monday, July 9, 2012 – 4:00 p.m.  
MAIN CONFERENCE ROOM  
MAYFIELD VILLAGE CIVIC CENTER**

**Council Representatives**

**Tom Marrie, Chair  
Patsy Mills  
Dr. Stephan Parker  
Nicholas Delguyd, Alt.**

**Department Heads**

**Rich Edelman  
Ronald Wynne  
Doug Metzung  
John Marrelli  
William Thomas  
Dave Mohr  
Diane Wolgamuth**

**Clerk of Council**

**Mary Betsa**

**Municipal Architect**

**Ron Dinardo**

Meeting Convened at \_\_\_\_\_ p.m.

**DISCUSSION ONLY**

1. Property and casualty insurance (straw vote requested July 6, 2012)
2. TIRC meeting
3. 2013 Budget
4. Various Purpose Improvement Note – due August 30, 2012
5. RITA – Cost of Collections
6. Political Subdivision Participation Agreement – Cuyahoga County Benefits Regionalization Program (Revised)
7. Senior Snow Removal Program – 2012-2013
8. Appointment of James S. McKnight as Landscape Architect
9. Fire Investigation Unit Contract
10. Reserve Ambulance Contract
11. Patient Loading System for MV ambulances (grant from Ohio BWC)
12. Wiley Park site preparation (C.A. Agresta - \$5,700.00)
13. Bid Results – Street Striping 2012 (Pat Flowers - \$20,723.55).

14. Bid Results – Sale of Modular Building
  - Change order from Bauman Enterprises for demolition and removal of building (\$5,000.00)
15. Parkview Pool Title Project – Change Order for Extra Title (Nerone & Sons, Inc. - \$535.50)

**ANY OTHER MATTERS**